

August 29, 2016

Mr. Joe Muchow President E. L. Foust Company 754 North Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126

Re: Limited Indoor Air Quality Monitoring Program Summary Report

Dear Mr. Muchow:

The purpose of this letter is to summarize the results of the Limited Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) monitoring program conducted by Mostardi Platt for the E.L. Foust Company, Inc. The testing was done at the Dental Care facility located at 2932 Finley Road in Downers Grove, Illinois (the facility).

BACKGROUND

In June 2016, Mostardi Platt was contacted regarding the completion of an IAQ monitoring program to be performed at the facility. The purpose of the IAQ monitoring program was limited to determine ambient chemical concentrations of mercury particulate matter (PM) and vapors emitted within the facility's dental procedure rooms as summarized in **Table 1**. Potential employee exposure to no other chemicals was measured as part of this limited IAQ monitoring program.

The purpose of this IAQ monitoring program was twofold, one to compare the analytical results against the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limits (PEL); and two, to compare the analytical results between two separate days of testing, one using the Foust Series 400 Mercury Vapor Dental Unit and a second not using this filtration unit.

The results of this IAQ monitoring program were to be compared to established OSHA PEL for air contaminants in accordance, with the Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR) Section 1910.1000 standard.

AIR QUALITY SAMPLING PROGRAM METHODOLOGY

Mostardi Platt mobilized to the facility on August 4 and 8, 2016 to perform the IAQ monitoring program developed for the facility. The purpose of the IAQ monitoring program was to determine if the Foust Series 400 Air Purification Dental Unit, manufactured by E. L. Foust, provided adequate control of potential exposure to mercury PM and for the facility employees.

Both area and personal samples were taken during this test. Area samples are collected by placing a sample media and air pump unit in the primary work zone area. An area sample measures the air within one location for the entire sampling period and is not mobile. A personal sample is a sample media and air pump unit worn by an individual, who may be mobile, for the duration of the sampling period.

E. L. Foust Company, Inc. Project No. M163110 August 29, 2016 Page 2 of 5

A representative sample for the job classifications in the work area, which was staffed on the days of the testing, was taken each day during this IAQ testing. The pump units were placed in the procedure room where the mercury-based dental amalgams are processed. The processing may include drilling, buffing, cleaning or the removal of the mercury-based amalgam filling and replacement with another material. In addition to the procedure room, a second area pump was also placed in the facility's patient waiting room on the days of the IAQ monitoring program. The dentist who performed all of the dental processing work within the facility was selected for placement of the personal mercury pump units.

The monitoring program also included the use of a Field Blank as a control to determine if there was any background mercury on the sample media not directly attributable to the intended measurement. Field Blanks are clean sample media taken to the sampling site, handled in every way as the air samples, except that no air is drawn through them. Field Blanks are used to estimate contamination which may occur immediately before or after sampling, during shipment or awaiting analysis in the laboratory and are used to ensure proper quality control.

The Foust Series 400 Air Purification Dental Unit is a free standing air filtration device. An air intake hose is placed upon the patient's chest within approximately twelve (12) inches of their mouth. This distance permits adequate air draw from the patient's mouth without hindering the dentist during the actual dental procedure.

It should be noted that during both days of the testing, the dentist and the hygienist assistant both wore suitable personal protective equipment. At no time were the dentist or the hygienist exposed to mercury PM or vapor without some form of protection. The purpose of the Foust Series 400 Air Purification Dental Unit would be to serve as an engineering control to eliminate the need to rely solely on PPE to prevent mercury exposure.

It should be noted that there were three (3) possible sources of error in this testing program. The first potential source of error involved the concentration of mercury found in the dental amalgams. The typical chemical composition of dental amalgams includes 30-55% mercury. So unlike a laboratory setting, the usage amounts will vary between each dental amalgam that is processed at the facility. There is no guarantee that the concentration of mercury would be the same between Day 1 and Day 2 of the IAQ monitoring program.

The second potential source of error would be the sampling time. While the process that the dentist uses to prep the patient for the procedure and actually perform the procedure may last 30-60 minutes, the amount of actual processing the mercury amalgam will vary depending the complexity of the tooth. During the course of this IAQ monitoring program, the actual processing time for mercury amalgams for each day was as indicated below:

- Day 1 23.39 minutes
- Day 2 13.55 minutes

E. L. Foust Company, Inc. Project No. M163110 August 29, 2016 Page 3 of 5

The third possible source of error in this IAQ monitoring program was the usage of the Foust Series 400 Mercury Vapor Dental Unit itself. On Day 1, the unit, which was placed within the dental procedure room, operated continuously throughout the day; no other filtration system was in operation on either day of the testing. On Day 2 of the testing however, the filtration unit was accidentally left on for the first hour of the day. Once this was discovered, it was immediately shut off, however a portion of the potential mercury exposure time was lost.

The facility's normal operating hours during this sampling period were 6:30 am through 5:00 pm. Except for a 60 minute lunch period, the facility operates on a continuous basis during these operating hours; the employee potential exposure levels to mercury vary depending on the both the number of mercury-based amalgam fillings processed and also the actual content of the mercury found in each filling.

In order to adequately determine the 8-Hour Time Weighted Average (TWA), the length of sampling in each case was conducted for a total of 480 minutes. This duration of sampling time at the NIOSH prescribed air pump flow rate produced the appropriate representative sample in accordance with the NIOSH test method.

The air samples were sent to ALS Environmental, a laboratory accredited by the AIHA® Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC, for independent analysis and a report of the mercury PM and vapors present in the air within the facility's dental procedure room and waiting room areas.

Measurement - Mercury (PM and vapor)

Mercury is a highly toxic compound. Exposure to mercury may be fatal to humans if inhaled and is extremely harmful if absorbed through the skin. According to a World Health Organization (WHO) study, about 80% of the inhaled mercury vapor is absorbed to the bloodstream through the lungs. It may cause harmful effects to the nervous, digestive, respiratory, immune systems and to the kidneys, besides causing lung damage. Adverse health effects from mercury exposure can be: tremors, impaired vision and hearing, paralysis, insomnia, emotional instability, developmental deficits during fetal development, and attention deficit and developmental delays during childhood.

Dental amalgam is the most commonly used dental filling material. It is a mixture of mercury and a metal alloy. The normal composition is 45-55% mercury; approximately 30% silver and other metals such as copper, tin and zinc. In 1991, the WHO confirmed that mercury contained in dental amalgam is the greatest source of mercury vapor in non-industrialized settings, exposing the concerned population to mercury levels significantly exceeding those set for food and for air. Any work done on the mercury amalgam surfaces such as buffing, cleaning, grinding, drilling, etc. may cause the mercury PM and vapors to be emitted to the ambient air.

E. L. Foust Company, Inc. Project No. M163110 August 29, 2016 Page 4 of 5

The dental procedures are performed solely within the procedure room by either the dentist or hygienist. Therefore, the procedure room area was selected as representative location for potential employee exposure to mercury PM and vapor.

Mostardi Platt placed one area sample pump unit inside the procedure room along the wall. A second area pump was placed within the patient waiting room. Additionally, Mostardi Platt placed one personal sample pumps on the dentist, who works within the procedure room as shown in **Table 1**. This person and two locations were selected as representative of potential employee exposure of mercury for each of these operating areas of the facility. These samples were analyzed for the following:

Mercury (PM & Vapor) (CAS #: 7439-97-6)

The samples were collected using an SKC 226-17-1A Anasorb® C300 sample tube. The air pumps were calibrated to an average flow rate of 0.2 liters per minute and were affixed to the area location and the employee for a period of 480 minutes each in accordance with methodology recommended in the NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods. Quantification of mercury was completed according to the NIOSH Test Method 6009. Results of the monitoring are summarized in **Table 1**.

RESULTS

ALS Environmental provided Mostardi Platt with an analytical report (enclosure) summarizing the results of the IAQ monitoring program for mercury PM and vapor at the facility.

The reported 8-hour TWA for actual employee exposure was compared to the OSHA PEL found in 29 CFR 1910.1000 for. The units of measurement are expressed in units of milligrams per cubic meter (mg/M³) of mercury PM and vapor present in the air space. The results for the IAQ monitoring program are summarized below in **Table 1**:

Table 1 – Summary of Analytical Results; and A Comparison of Foust Series 400 Air Purification Dental Unit in Use on Day 1 vs. Not in Use on Day 2

Sample ID	Chemical	Day	Location	Туре	Analytical 8-Hour TWA (mg/M³)	OSHA PEL (mg/M³)
01A	Mercury PM & Vapors	1	Waiting Room	Area	< 0.00054	0.1
02A	Mercury PM & Vapors	1	Procedure Room	Area	< 0.00054	0.1
03A	Mercury PM & Vapors	1	Dentist (Dr. Basciano)	Personal	< 0.00054	0.1
04A	Mercury PM & Vapors	1	Field Blank	Area	Not Detected	0.1
05A	Mercury PM & Vapors	2	Waiting Room	Area	<0.00050	0.1
06A	Mercury PM & Vapors	2	Procedure Room	Area	<0.00050	0.1
07A	Mercury PM & Vapors	2	Dentist (Dr. Basciano)	Personal	< 0.00050	0.1



E. L. Foust Company, Inc. Project No. M163110 August 29, 2016 Page 5 of 5

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analytical results indicate that the measured chemical concentrations for mercury were below the established OSHA PEL for all tested employees and areas of the facility. Despite three separate potential sources of error, the Foust Series 400 Mercury Vapor Dental Unit provided adequate protection from exposure to mercury PM and vapors. Although the concentration on Day 2 was equally low as Day 1, this may be due in part to the accidental use of the filtration unit on Day 2 and the significant decrease in mercury amalgam dental processing time (twofold greater on Day 1). The third source of potential error which played into the testing results was the unknown variability of the exact concentration of the mercury in any of the dental amalgams which were processed.

Although the facility employee inhalation exposure to mercury PM and vapors was below the OSHA PEL, the employees may continue to wear respiratory protection when handling this chemical. Suitable hand and body PPE should continue to be worn.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 1910.1020, to maintain compliance with the OSHA standard, E.L. Foust and the Dental Care facility must maintain this report in the facility compliance files for a minimum of thirty (30) years. Facility employees affected by this sampling program have the right to review the results.

If you have any questions about this report or require any further assistance, please feel free to call me at 630-993-2145. Thank you for the opportunity to assist you in this important project.

Sincerely,

MOSTARDI PLATT

David Osadjan

Senior Project Manager

Enclosure

pc: Dr. Marcia Basciano



17-Aug-2016

David Osadjan Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, IL 60126

Tel: (630) 993-2145 Fax: (630) 993-9017

Re: M163110

Work Order: 1608382

Dear David.

ALS Environmental received 7 samples on 10-Aug-2016 12:19 PM for the analyses presented in the following report.

The analytical data provided relates directly to the samples received by ALS Environmental and for only the analyses requested.

QC sample results for this data met laboratory specifications. Any exceptions are noted in the Case Narrative, or noted with qualifiers in the report or QC batch information. Should this laboratory report need to be reproduced, it should be reproduced in full unless written approval has been obtained from ALS Laboratory Group. Samples will be disposed in 30 days unless storage arrangements are made.

The total number of pages in this report is 8.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Rob Nieman

Electronically approved by Rob Neman

Rob Nieman Project Manager

ADDRESS 4386 Glandale Milford Rd. Cincinnati, Onio 45242-1 PHONE (513) 733-5336 J FAX (513) 733-5347
ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Group. An ALS Limited Company

Date: 17-Aug-16

Client:

Mostardi Platt

Project: Work Order: M163110 1608382 Work Order Sample Summary

Lab Samp II	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Tag Number	Collection Date	Date Received	Hold
1608382-01	1126 Waiting Room	Air		8/8/2016	8/10/2016 12:19	
1608382-02	1131 Procedue Room	Air		8/8/2016	8/10/2016 12:19	
1608382-03	1240 Dentist Personal	Air		8/8/2016	8/10/2016 12:19	
1608382-04	1127 Field Blank	Air		8/8/2016	8/10/2016 12:19	
1608382-05	1124 Waiting Room	Air		8/8/2016	8/10/2016 12:19	
1608382-06	1129 Procedure Room	Air		8/8/2016	8/10/2016 12:19	
1608382-07	1236 Dentist Personal	Air		8/8/2016	8/10/2016 12:19	

Client:

Mostardi Platt

Project:

M163110

Work Order:

1608382

Case Narrative

Date: 17-Aug-16

The sample condition upon receipt was acceptable except where noted.

Results relate only to the items tested and are not blank corrected unless indicated.

Date: 17-Aug-16

Client:

Mostardi Platt

Project:

M163110

Analytical Results

Work Order: 1608382

Lab ID:

1608382-01A

Collection Date: 8/8/2016

Matrix: AIR

Client Sample ID: 1126 Waiting Room Analyses

MERCURY BY NIOSH 6009 MOD.		Method: N6009	Air Volume (L): 92	Analyst: VAW
Date Analyzed: 8/16/2016		Reporting Limit		
	μg/sample	μg/sample	mg/m3	
Mercury	ND	0.050	< 0.00054	

Lab ID:

1608382-02A

Collection Date: 8/8/2016

Client Sample ID: 1131 Procedue Room

Matrix: AIR

Analyses

MERCURY BY NIOSH 6009 MOD.

Method: N6009

Air Volume (L): 92

Analyst: VAW

Analyst: VAW

Date Analyzed: 8/16/2016

Reporting Limit

0.050

µg/sample

µg/sample

mg/m3 < 0.00054

Mercury

1608382-03A

Collection Date: 8/8/2016

Client Sample ID: 1240 Dentist Personal

Matrix: AIR

Analyses

Lab ID:

MERCURY BY NIOSH 6009 MOD.

Method: N6009

Air Volume (L): 92

Date Analyzed: 8/16/2016

Reporting Limit

0.050

mg/m3

µg/sample µg/sample

ND

< 0.00054

Lab ID:

Mercury

1608382-04A

Collection Date: 8/8/2016

Client Sample ID: 1127 Field Blank

Matrix: AIR

Analyses

MERCURY BY NIOSH 6009 MOD.		Method: N6009	Air Volume (L): 0	Analyst: VAW
Date Analyzed: 8/16/2016		Reporting Limit		
	μg/sample	μg/sample	mg/m3	
Mercury	ND	0.050	NA	

Note:

Client:

Mostardi Platt

Project:

M163110

Date: 17-Aug-16

Work Order: 1608382

Analytical Results

Lab ID:

1608382-05A

Collection Date: 8/8/2016

Client Sample ID: 1124 Waiting Room

Matrix: AIR

Analyses

MERCURY BY NIOSH 6009 MOD.		Method: N6009	Air Volume (L): 100	Analyst: VAW
Date Analyzed: 8/16/2016		Reporting Limit		
	μg/sample	μg/sample	mg/m3	
Mercury	ND	0.050	<0.00050	

Lab ID:

1608382-06A

Collection Date: 8/8/2016

Client Sample ID: 1129 Procedure Room

Matrix: AIR

Analyses

MERCURY BY NIOSH 6009 MOD.		Method: N6009	Air Volume (L): 100	Analyst: VAW
Date Analyzed: 8/16/2016	Reporting Limit			
	µg/sample	µg/sample	mg/m3	
Mercury	ND	0.050	<0.00050	

Lab ID:

1608382-07A

Collection Date: 8/8/2016

Client Sample ID: 1236 Dentist Personal

Matrix: AIR

Analyses

MERCURY BY NIOSH 6009 MOD.		Method: N6009	Air Volume (L): 100	Analyst: VAW
Date Analyzed: 8/16/2016	Reporting Limit			
	µg/sample	μg/sample	mg/m3	
Mercury	ND	0.050	<0.00050	

Note:

Date: 17-Aug-16

Client:

Mostardi Platt

Work Order:

1608382

Project:

M163110

QC BATCH REPORT

Batch ID: 3	37703 Instrument ID: H	G1		Metho	d: N6009						
MBLK Client ID:	Sample ID: mblk-37703-3770		ID: HG1_1	60816A		Units: µg/sa eqNo: 13371		Analysis Prep Date: 8/1	5/2016	6/2016 DF: 1	
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK Val	SPK Ref Value	%REC	Control Limit	RPD Ref Value	%RPD	RPD Limit	Qua
Mercury		ND	0.050								
LCS Client ID:	Sample ID: Ics-37703-37703	Run	ID: HG1_1	60816A		Units: µg/sa eqNo: 13371		Analysis Prep Date: 8/1	Date: 8/10 5/2016	5/2016 DF: 1	
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK Val	SPK Ref Value	%REC	Control Limit	RPD Ref Value	%RPD	RPD Limit	Qua
Mercury		0.525	0.050	0.5		0 105	64.7-123	0)		
LCSD Client ID:	Sample ID: lcsd-37703-37703	Run I	D: HG1_1	60816A		Units: µg/sa eqNo: 13371		Analysis Prep Date: 8/1	Date: 8/10 5/2016	3/2016 DF: 1	
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK Val	SPK Ref Value	%REC	Control Limit	RPD Ref Value	%RPD	RPD Limit	Qual
Mercury		0.527	0.050	0.5		0 105	64.7-123	0.525	0.38	20	
The followi	ing samples were analyzed in thi	s batch:	16	608382-01A 608382-04A 608382-07A		08382-02A 08382-05A		08382-03A 08382-06A			

Date: 17-Aug-16

Client:	Mostardi Platt	OHAL IEIEDS
Project:	M163110	QUALIFIERS,
WorkOrder:	1608382	ACRONYMS, UNITS

Qualifier	Description
*	Value exceeds Regulatory Limit
a	Not accredited
В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank above the Reporting Limit
E	Value above quantitation range
H	Analyzed outside of Holding Time
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limit
n	Not offered for accreditation
ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	Sample amount is > 4 times amount spiked
P	Dual Column results percent difference > 40%
R	RPD above laboratory control limit
S	Spike Recovery outside laboratory control limits
U	Analyzed but not detected above the MDL
Acronym	Description
DUP	Method Duplicate
E	EPA Method
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate
MBLK	Method Blank
MDL	Method Detection Limit
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
PDS	Post Digestion Spike
PQL	Practical Quantitaion Limit
SDL	Sample Detection Limit
SW	SW-846 Method
Units Reported	Description

μg/sample

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name: M	OSTARDIPLATT-ELMHU	RST			Date/Time	Received:	10-Aug-	16 12:19		
Work Order: 16	508382				Received b	y:	SNH			
Checklist complete	d by: L eeanna Fischer eSignature		10-Aug-16 Date	3_ 1	Reviewed by:	Rob Niem	ian		1	1-Aug-16 Date
Matrices: Carrier name:	<u>UPS</u>									
Shipping container/	cooler in good condition?		Yes	~	No 🗆	Not Prese	nt 🗆			
Custody seals intac	ct on shipping container/coole	er?	Yes		No 🗌	Not Prese	nt 🗸			
Custody seals intac	ct on sample bottles?		Yes		No 🗌	Not Prese	nt 🗸			
Chain of custody pr	resent?		Yes	V	No 🗌					
Chain of custody si	gned when relinquished and r	received?	Yes	v	No 🗌					
Chain of custody ag	grees with sample labels?		Yes	~	No 🗌					
Samples in proper of	container/bottle?		Yes	V	No 🗆					
Sample containers i	intact?		Yes	~	No 🗆					
Sufficient sample vo	olume for indicated test?		Yes	V	No 🗆					
All samples received	d within holding time?		Yes	V	No 🗆					
Container/Temp Bla	ank temperature in compliance	e?	Yes	V	No 🗌					
Temperature(s)/The	ermometer(s):							1		
Cooler(s)/Kit(s):								1		
Water - VOA vials h	nave zero headspace?		Yes		No 🔲	No VOA vials s	submitted			
Water - pH acceptal	ble upon receipt?		Yes		No 🗏	N/A				
pH adjusted? pH adjusted by:			Yes -		No 🔳	N/A]		
Login Notes:										
				-			===	===		===
Client Contacted:		Date Contacted:			Person (Contacted:				
Contacted By:		Regarding:								
Comments:										
Johnnerus:										
CorrectiveAction										
JOH GOUVE ACTION										
								CD/	Dage	7 04 1



COOLER TEMP

COOLING METHOD NONE

°C

COOLER

PH ADJUSTMENTS

DRY ICE

ICE PACK

WET ICE

ALS Environmental

4388 Glendale Milford Rd. Cincinnati, Ohio 45242

Phone: (800)-458-1493 or

ANALYTICAL REQUEST FORM REGULAR Status

(513) 733-5	5336
Fax: (513) 733-5	347 RUSH Status Required - ADDITIONAL CHARGE
1	RESULTS REQUIRED BY
Page: of	CONTACT ALS LABORATORY GROUP PRIOR TO SENDING SAMPLES
Date Purchase Order No	
Company Name Mostardi P	Sampling Site
Address 888 Industri	Date/Time of Collection 0715-
Elmhurst, IL Co	0/26 Project No. M/63/10
Send Report To Dowid Os	
Email Address dosadían a	Billing Address (if different)
Telephone (638) 993-2/45	< A
Alt. Contact Name) AT ME
Alt. Contact Info	
Out.	Media Sample Volume (L)/ Type Sample Time (nin.) ANALYSIS REQUESTED - Use Method Number if Known
0 1126 Waiting Rooms	90 21 1/
	som 92.04 Mercun Vafor +PM (NIOSH 6005
03 1240 Dentist Pers	
of 1/27 Field Blocal	
" I a man	k 0.04
05 1124 Wanting Room	100.01
00 1129 Proceduretos	
OF 1286 Dentist Pers	G cook
The state of the s	0100100
Relative humide	1 was 45%
Failure to complete all portion	is of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY.
CHAIN OF CUSTODY	of this form leady analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY.
Relinquished by	/ Date / Time Repetives, by:
(Signature)	8/9/12 Aggo (Signature) NMIN AN MIN SI 10/16
Relinquished by: (Signature)	Date / Time Received by:
	(Signature) Date / Time
ALS LAB USE ONLY	DELIVERY METHOD: CLIENT DROP BOY
The same of the sa	DELIVERY METHOD: CLIENT DROP BOY

DELIVERY METHOD

STD MAIL

CUSTODY SEALS

EQUIP. RETURNED

CLIENT

ALS

PRTY MAIL

NONE

DROP BOX

COOLER

COURIER

FEDEX

PACKAGE

OTHER

UPS

SAMPLES